

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Tetrahedron Letters

Tetrahedron Letters 48 (2007) 3583–3586

## Center-to-propeller and propeller-to-propeller stereocontrol in a series of macrobicyclic tri- $\lambda^5$ -phosphazenes

Mateo Alajarín,\* Carmen López-Leonardo,\* José Berná and Pilar Sánchez-Andrada

Departamento de Química Orgánica, Facultad de Química, Universidad de Murcia, Campus de Espinardo, E-30100 Murcia, Spain

Received 10 January 2007; revised 13 March 2007; accepted 16 March 2007 Available online 21 March 2007

Abstract—Center-to-propeller stereocontrol in a family of macrobicyclic, double-propeller shaped tri- $\lambda^5$ -triphosphazenes remains constant in the upper tribenzylamine fragment as the size of the pivotal group at the lower tris(phosphane) fragment is gradually increased. In contrast the propeller-to-propeller stereocontrol diminishes as a result of the increasing conformational lability in the lower hemisphere.

© 2007 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

The self-assembly of tripodal reactants such as tris(3 azidobenzyl)amines and the commercially available tris(phosphane)  $CH_3C(CH_2PPh_2)$  gives intermediate triphosphazides<sup>[1](#page-3-0)</sup> which under mild heating in solution yield tri- $\lambda^5$ -phosphazenes 1<sup>[2](#page-3-0)</sup> by the well known two-step Staudinger reaction.[3](#page-3-0) Macrobicycles 1 so obtained have been shown to possess double-propeller topology and the process occurs with total stereoselectivity in favor of the formation of the species in which both propeller units, the upper tribenzylamine and the lower tert-pentane fragments, present the same sense of twist (Fig. 1).



Figure 1. Tri- $\lambda^5$ -phosphazenes 1 and schematic representation as viewed along their  $C_3$  axis, showing the two propeller units with the same sense of twist.

In the context of these investigations we have demonstrated that the sense of twist of the two propeller units of compounds 1 can be simultaneously controlled by a single chiral benzylic carbon in one of the arms of the upper fragment, $4$  just by replacing one of the benzylic protons by a methyl group.

That stereocontrol seems to be determined by the marked preference of the methyl group for occupying a pseudoaxial position (parallel to the pseudo  $C_3$  axis) instead of the alternative pseudoequatorial position closer to the internal cavity, and therefore to the aryl groups. The sense of twist of the arm bearing the  $\alpha$ methyl group in turn determines those of the other two arms  $(Fig. 2)$ .<sup>[5](#page-3-0)</sup> This was confirmed by an X-ray crystal structure determination that showed the  $CH<sub>3</sub>$ pseudoaxial topology of the  $R^*$ ,  $M^*$ ,  $M^*$  diastereoisomers, the only obtained isomers.

Here we show that while this is valid for several tri- $\lambda^5$ phosphazenes in which the lower fragment bears either



Figure 2. The two possible conformations for the upper fragment of a-methyltribenzylamine of compounds 1.

Keywords: Cage compounds; Propeller macrobicycles.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding authors. E-mail addresses: [alajarin@um.es;](mailto:alajarin@um.es) [melill@](mailto:melill@ um.es) [um.es](mailto:melill@ um.es)

<sup>0040-4039/\$ -</sup> see front matter © 2007 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.2007.03.087

 $CH<sub>3</sub>$  at the pivotal carbon atom (as in 1) or a smaller H atom, the introduction at that position of larger groups, such as Et, <sup>*i*</sup>Pr, and <sup>*t*</sup>Bu, gradually decreases the efficiency of the propeller-to-propeller induction (from the upper to the lower propeller) while retaining the center-to-propeller total induction at the upper hemisphere. This is evidenced by the gradually lower diastereoisomeric excesses measured in the formed macrobicycles  $(R^*, M^*, M^*$  and  $R^*, M^*, P^*$ , major and minor diastereoisomers, respectively).

To this end we prepared a series of  $\alpha$ -methyl substituted triazides 2 in racemic form by using standard methods,[4](#page-3-0) starting from the commercially available  $\alpha$ -methyl-3aminobenzyl alcohol and 2-substituted 5-azidobenzyl alcohols<sup>[2,6](#page-3-0)</sup> (Scheme 1).

We also synthesized several tris(phosphanes) 3 of general formula  $R_{\text{piv}}C(CH_2PPh_2)$ <sub>3</sub>, analogous of the commercially available 3a  $(R_{\text{piv}} = CH_3)$ , in which the pivotal  $R_{\text{piv}}$  group is H,<sup>[7](#page-3-0)</sup> CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>,<sup>[8](#page-3-0)</sup> (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub><sup>[9](#page-3-0)</sup> and  $(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>C<sup>9</sup>$  $(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>C<sup>9</sup>$  $(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>C<sup>9</sup>$  (Scheme 2).

Triazides 2 coupled efficiently with triphosphanes 3 to give, after dinitrogen extrusion, macrobicycles 4 in 13– 86% yields (based on the starting triazides 2) (Scheme 3 and Table  $1$ ).<sup>[10](#page-3-0)</sup>

As presumed, macrobicycles **4a**  $(R_{\text{piv}} = H)$  and **4c**  $(R_{\text{piv}} = CH_3)$  were obtained as single diastereoisomers (Table 1, entries 1 and 3) in a similar way to other previously reported by us  $(R_{\text{piv}} = CH_3, R = H, Cl$ , entries 2 and  $4$ <sup>4</sup> and showing comparable spectroscopic data. In



Scheme 1. Preparation of tribenzylamines 2. Reagents and conditions: (i) NaNO<sub>2</sub>, dil HCl, 0 °C, 30 min, then NaN<sub>3</sub>, 25 °C, 16 h; (ii) TPP, DEAD, phthalimide, THF,  $0-25$  °C, 24 h; (iii) N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O, EtOH, reflux, 3 h; (iv) SOCl<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 0 °C, 3 h; (v) NaI, acetone, 25 °C, 12 h; (vi)  $CH<sub>3</sub>CN$ , Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, reflux, 16 h.



Scheme 2. Synthesis of tris(phosphanes) 3. Reagents and conditions: (i) SOCl<sub>2</sub>, pyridine, 0-115 °C, 6 h; (ii) HPPh<sub>2</sub>, KO'Bu, THF, reflux, 16 h.



Scheme 3. Synthesis of tri- $\lambda^5$ -phosphazenes 4. Reagents and conditions: (i) Et<sub>2</sub>O, 25 °C, 3 h; (ii) CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 60 °C, 24 h.

Table 1.  $\alpha$ -Methyl substituted tri- $\lambda^5$ -phosphazene 4

Entry	Compound	$R_{\text{piv}}$	R	de $(\%$	Yield $(\% )$
	4a	Н	H	>98	61
2	4b <sup>a</sup>	CH <sub>3</sub>	H	>98	70
3	4c	CH <sub>3</sub>	Br	>98	89
4	4d <sup>a</sup>	CH <sub>3</sub>	C1	>98	63
5	4e	CH <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>2</sub>	Br	90	86
6	4f	CH <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>2</sub>	C1	86	58
7	4g	(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> CH	Br	52	55
8	4h	(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> CH	C1	56	42
9	4i	(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> C	Br	4	13

<sup>a</sup> Compounds [4](#page-3-0)b and 4d have been previously described in Ref. 4.

these cases, the sense of twist of their two propeller units is totally controlled by the chiral benzylic carbon atom, and it should be the same for both propellers as in the previously reported examples. That is, 4a and 4c are obtained as the  $R^*$ ,  $M^*$ ,  $M^*$  diastereoisomers exclusively.

The situation changes with the introduction of larger  $R_{\text{piv}}$  groups. In compounds 4e and 4f ( $R_{\text{piv}} = CH_3CH_2$ , entries 5 and 6) minor amounts of a second diastereoisomer were detected in their NMR spectra (de 90 and 86%, respectively). The proportion of the second diastereoisomer increased notably in 4g and 4h  $[R_{\text{piv}} = (CH_3)_2CH,$ entries 7 and 8], whereas in 4i  $[R_{\text{piv}} = (CH_3)_3C$ , entry 9] the two diastereisomers were present in nearly equal amount (Table 1). In all these cases, we could not resolve the diastereoisomeric mixtures into their components by chromatography or fractional crystallization.

In a first approximation we postulated that the minor isomers accompanying the expected, major  $R^*$ ,  $M^*$ ,  $M^*$ diastereoisomers 4e–i should be those differing in the sense of twist of the lower propeller,  $R^*$ ,  $M^*$ ,  $P^*$ , as there is no apparent reason to expect an alteration of the asymmetric control of the center over the upper propeller  $(R^*$  leading to  $M^*$ ), whereas the introduction of large groups at the pivotal carbon of the lower hemisphere could alter considerably the conformational preferences of this moiety.

We have found some support for this assumption. The main spectroscopic differences between the diastereoisomeric macrobicycles in each pair 4e–i do not lie in the signals attributed to the upper propeller, but in those corresponding to the lower part. For instance,<sup>[10](#page-3-0)</sup> whereas six phosphorus resonances are more or less clearly distinguished in each mixture of two diastereoisomers, the resonances of their  $\alpha$ -methyl groups at the benzylic carbon in their  ${}^{1}H$  NMR spectra appear in a narrow range of chemical shifts. One would expect more significant differences in chemical shifts between pseudoaxial and pseudoequatorial methyl groups, and this seems to be not the case.

Moreover, we have calculated the chemical shift of several nuclei in a model of the upper part of these tri- $\lambda^5$ phosphazenes, a-methyltribenzylamine 5 in two conformational minima, those with the Me group in axial and equatorial positions, 5a and 5b, respectively (Fig. 3) by means of the GIAO (gauge-independent atomic orbi- $\text{tal}$ <sup>[11](#page-3-0)</sup> computational methodology. The results are summarized in Table 2 and compared with the NMR data of the upper fragment of macrobicycle 4b measured in  $CDCl<sub>3</sub>$ .

The data in Table 2 clearly reveal the similarity of the shifts measured in 4b with those calculated for 5a  $(\alpha$ - $Me<sub>ax</sub>$ ) which in turn are considerably far from those of its conformer 5b ( $\alpha$ -Me<sub>eq</sub>), particularly, but not only, in the <sup>13</sup>C NMR shifts of the  $\alpha$ -methyl (8.49 vs 26.69) and the methine (54.79 vs 69.19) carbons. These data support the  $\alpha$ -Me<sub>ax</sub> conformation of the tribenzylamine fragment of 4b and also of the rest of tri- $\lambda^5$ -phosphazenes here prepared, including the pairs of diastereoiso-

Figure 3. Two conformations of  $\alpha$ -methyltribenzylamine 5.

Table 2. Calculated chemical shifts of  $5a^a$  and  $5b^a$  and experimental chemical shifts of  $4b<sup>b</sup>$ 

Compound	<sup>1</sup> H NMR		${}^{13}C$ NMR			
			CHMeN $\alpha$ -Me CHMeN $\alpha$ -Me $(CH_2)_A$ (CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>R</sub>			
5a (α-Me <sub>ax</sub> ) 3.64		135	54.79		8.49 52.47	54 14
5b $(\alpha$ -Me <sub>eo</sub> )	3.28	0.94	69 19		26.69 56.29	69.37
4h	3.66	122	55.24		7 35 51 94	53.76

<sup>a</sup> The data for 5a and 5b were obtained by GIAO calculations at the RHF/6-31G\* level.

<sup>b</sup> Measured in CDCl<sub>3</sub> at 300 (<sup>1</sup>H NMR) and 75 (<sup>13</sup>C NMR) MHz.

mers  $4e-h$ . For instance, the  $\alpha$ -methyl and methine carbons of diastereoisomeric pair 4h resonate at 8.28 and 55.21 ppm in the major diastereoisomer, and at 8.00 and 57.77 ppm in the minor component of the mixture.

Thus, the experimental and calculated data of compounds 4 are in accord with the assignation of the  $R^*$ ,  $M^*$ ,  $M^*$  and  $R^*$ ,  $M^*$ ,  $P^*$  relative configurations to the major and minor components of the mixtures of diastereoisomers 4e–h respectively, which differ only in the sense of twist of the lower tris(phosphane) propeller (Fig. 4).

The results of molecular mechanics calculations<sup>[12](#page-3-0)</sup> of the two diastereoisomers in each pair 4e–h are summarized in Table 3. In all the cases the  $R^*$ ,  $M^*$ ,  $M^*$  isomer is the most stable and the differences in energy with respect to the  $R^*$ ,  $M^*$ ,  $P^*$  isomer  $\Delta E$  are gradually lower when the size of the pivotal group  $R_{\text{piv}}$  increases. The same tendency was observed as far as the experimental diastereoisomeric excesses were concerned.

In summary, in macrobicyclic tri- $\lambda^5$ -phosphazenes 4a-e  $(R_{\text{div}} = H, CH_3)$  the chiral center at the benzylic carbon controls the sense of twist of the upper tribenzylamine fragment, which in turn determines that of the lower tris(phosphane) propeller. The propeller-to-propeller

P

Ph<sub>r</sub>Ph

N

H H

P,

Ph Ph

N

N

R

P

R

N

Ph Ph

Figure 4. Major and minor diastereoisomers of compounds 4e–h.

 $(R^*, M^*, M^*)$  ( $R^*, M^*, P^*$ )

Ŗ

N

R

Ph

 $H_{\nu}^{\prime}$   $N_{\nu}^{\prime}$  ,  $N_{\nu}^{\prime}$  ,  $N_{\nu}$  ,  $N_{\nu}$  ,  $N_{\nu}$ 

P

PhPh

N

N

′ ਲਮ<br>ੱ

 $\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}$  Ph

 $Ph$  $\sim$  $p$ 

R

Ph

Table 3. Calculated difference of energy for the components of the pairs  $(R^*, M^*, M^*)/(R^*, M^*, P^*)$  of some tri- $\lambda^5$ -phosphazenes 4

Tri- $\lambda^5$ -phosphazene		$R_{\text{piv}}$	$\Delta E$ (kcal mol <sup>-1</sup> )
4c	Br	CH <sub>2</sub>	25.86
4e	Br	CH <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>2</sub>	19.45
4g	Br	$(CH_3)$ , CH	11.04
4i	Br	(CH <sub>3</sub> )C	1.95



<span id="page-3-0"></span>stereocontrol is gradually less effective as the size of the pivotal group increases (Et, 'Pr, 'Bu), whereas the center-to-propeller stereocontrol remains equally effective. Large pivotal groups in the lower hemisphere increase the conformational lability of this moiety.

## Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the MEC and FEDER  $(Project CTO2005-02323/BOU)$  and Fundación Séneca-CARM (Project 00458/PI/04). J.B. also thanks the MEC for a fellowship.

## References and notes

- 1. Alajarín, M.; Vidal, A.; López-Leonardo, C.; Berná, J.; Ramírez de Arellano, M. C. Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 7807.
- 2. Alajarín, M.; López-Leonardo, C.; Berná, J. Tetrahedron 2006, 62, 6190.
- 3. (a) Staudinger, H.; Meyer, J. Helv. Chim. Acta 1919, 2, 635; (b) Gololobov, Y. G.; Zhmurova, I. N.; Kasukhin, L. F. Tetrahedron 1981, 37, 437; (c) Gololobov, Y. G.; Kasukhin, L. F. Tetrahedron 1992, 48, 1353; (d) Johnson, A. W. Ylides and Imines of Phosphorus; Wiley: New York, 1993, p 403.
- 4. Alajarín, M.; López-Leonardo, C.; Vidal, A.; Berná, J.; Steed, J. W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 1205.
- 5. Comparable situations have been found in: (a) McIntosh, J. M. J. Org. Chem. 1982, 47, 3777; (b) Canary, J. W.; Allen, C. S.; Castagnetto, J. M.; Wang, Y. J. Am. Chem. 1995, 117, 8484; (c) Canary, J. W.; Allen, C. S.; Castagnetto, J. M.; Chiu, Yu.-H.; Toscano, P. J.; Wang, Y. Inorg. Chem. 1998, 37, 6255; (d) Chiu, Yu-H.; Dos Santos, O.; Canary, J. W. Tetrahedron 1999, 55, 12069; (e) Zahn, S.; Das, D.; Canary, J. W. Inorg. Chem. 2005, 45, 6056.
- 6. Merrill, S. H.; Unruh, C. C. U.S. Patent 3,002,003, 1959; Merrill, S. H.; Unruh, C. C. Chem. Abstr. 1962, 56, 4961i.
- 7. (a) Fort, G.; McLean, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1948, 1902; (b) Latour, S.; Wuest, J. D. Synthesis 1987, 742; (c) Janssen, B. C.; Asam, A.; Huttner, G.; Sernau, V.; Zsolnai, L. Chem. Ber. 1994, 127, 501.
- 8. Ott, J.; Venanzi, L. M.; Ghilardi, C. A.; Midollini, S.; Orlandini, A. J. Organomet. Chem. 1985, 291, 89.
- 9. Tris(phosphanes) 3d and 3e were prepared following the same experimental procedure used for 3c, as reported in Ref. 8.
- 10. Tri- $\lambda^5$ -phosphazene 4c: Yield: 89%; mp 259-261 °C (yellow prisms from chloroform/n-pentane);  $^1H$  NMR  $(300 \text{ MHz}, \text{ CDCl}_3): \delta \text{ -0.76 (s, 3H; CH}_3), 1.42 \text{ (d,}$  $J(H,H) = 6.8$  Hz, 3H;  $\alpha$ -CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.20 (m, 5H; 2  $CH_AH_BN+3 CH_AH_BP$ ), 3.56 (d,  $J(H,H) = 12.1$  Hz, 1H; CH<sub>A</sub>H<sub>B</sub>N), 3.69 (q,  $J(H,H) = 6.8$  Hz, 1H; CH), 3.85 (d,  $J(H,H) = 12.9$  Hz, 1H;  $CH_AH_BN$ ), 3.90 (m, 3H; CH<sub>A</sub>H<sub>B</sub>P), 6.32 (d,  $J(H,H) = 2.8$  Hz, 1H; H<sub>arom</sub>), 6.35 (br s, 1H; H<sub>arom</sub>), 6.41 (d,  $J(H,H) = 2.8$  Hz, 1H; H<sub>arom</sub>), 6.66 (d,  $J(H,H) = 6.6$  Hz, 1H; H<sub>arom</sub>), 6.95 (dd,  $J(H,H) =$ 5.8, 2.9 Hz, 1H; H<sub>arom</sub>), 6.98 (dd,  $J(H,H) = 5.8$ , 2.9 Hz, 2H; Harom), 7.10–7.13 (m, 5H; Harom), 7.26–7.45 (m, 22H;  $H_{\text{arom}}$ , 7.79–7.91 (m, 6H;  $H_{\text{arom}}$ ); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR

(75.4 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.68 ( $\alpha$ -CH<sub>3</sub>), 27.50 (CH<sub>3</sub>C),  $36.73-37.26$  (m,  $3CH_2P$ ),  $38.98$  (q, <sup>2</sup>  $J(C,P) = 3.8 \text{ Hz};$ CH<sub>3</sub>C), 50.09 (CH<sub>2</sub>N), 52.57 (CH<sub>2</sub>N), 55.84 (CH), 112.49 (q), 112.59 (q), 115.32, 123.16 (d,  $\frac{3J(C,P)}{2}$  = 12.7 Hz;<br>s-cis-CH=C-N=P), 123.99 (d,  $\frac{3J(C,P)}{2}$  = 12.2 Hz; s-cis-CH=C–N=P), 124.45 (d, <sup>3</sup>J(C,P) = 12.7 Hz; s-cis-<br>CH=C–N=P), 125.43 (d, <sup>3</sup>J(C,P) = 28.3 Hz; s-trans-<br>CH=C–N=P), 127.19 (d, <sup>3</sup>J(C,P) = 28.6 Hz; s-trans-<br>CH=C–N=P), 127.29 (d, <sup>3</sup>J(C,P) = 28.7 Hz; s-trans-CH=C–N=P), 128.34–132.80, 140.24 (*q*), 140.37 (*q*), 145.06 (*q*), 150.73 (3 *q*); <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (121.4 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  0.44 (s, 1P), 1.36 (s, 1P), 1.54 (s, 1P); IR (Nujol):  $v = 1453$  (CP), 1118 (NP) cm<sup>-1</sup>; MS (FAB+):  $m/z$  $(\%) = 1124 \ (13) \ [M^+ + 4], \ (1122 \ (13) \ [M^+ + 2], \ (1121 \ (11))$  $[M^{\dagger}+1]$ , 1120 (6)  $[M^{\dagger}]$ , 133 (100); C<sub>63</sub>H<sub>57</sub>Br<sub>2</sub>N<sub>4</sub>P<sub>3</sub> (1122.88): Calcd C, 67.39, H, 5.12, N, 4.99. Found: C, 67.50, H, 5.07, N, 5.13.  $Tri·λ<sup>5</sup>-phosphazenes$  4h + 4h' (diastereoisomers ratio 3.5:1): Yield: 42%; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  -0.41  $[d, J(H,H) = 6.9 \text{ Hz}, 3H; (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>A</sub> (h)], -0.29 [d,$  $J(H,H) = 6.9$  Hz, 3H; (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>A</sub> (h')], -0.23 [d,  $J(H,H) =$ 6.9 Hz, 3H;  $(CH_3)_B$  (h)],  $-0.03$  [d,  $J(H,H) = 6.9$  Hz, 3H;  $(CH_3)_B$  (h')], 0.93 [m, 2H; CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (h + h')], 1.13 [d,  $J(H,H) = 6.7 \text{ Hz}, 3H; \alpha\text{-CH}_3 \text{ (h)}$ , 1.26 [d,  $J(H,H) =$ 6.9 Hz, 3H;  $\alpha$ -CH<sub>3</sub> (h)], 2.90 [d,  $J(H,H) = 12.6$  Hz, 1H;  $CH_AH_BN$  (h)], 2.94 [d,  $J(H,H) = 12.2$  Hz, 1H;  $CH_AH_BN$ (h)], 3.03 [d,  $J(H,H) = 16.5$  Hz, 1H;  $CH_AH_BN$  (h')], 3.24 [d,  $J(H,H) = 16.5$  Hz, 1H;  $CH_AH_BN$  (h')], 3.45–4.31 [m,  $18H$ ; 4 CH<sub>A</sub>H<sub>B</sub>N (h + h') + 12 CH<sub>2</sub>P (h + h') + 2 CHCH<sub>3</sub>  $(h + h')$ ], 6.25 (br s, 1H; H<sub>arom</sub>), 6.30 (br s, 2H; H<sub>arom</sub>), 6.52  $(d, J(H,H) = 7.2$  Hz, 1H;  $H_{\text{arom}}$ ), 6.97–7.53 [m, 64H;  $H_{\text{arom}}$  $(h+h')$ ], 7.96–8.00 [m, 12H; H<sub>arom</sub>  $(h+h')$ ]; <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (75.4 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 8.00$  [ $\alpha$ -CH<sub>3</sub> (h')], 8.28 [ $\alpha$ -CH<sub>3</sub> (h)], 19.30 [(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>A</sub> (h)], 19.72 [(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>A</sub> (h')], 20.01 [(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>B</sub> (h)], 20.08 [(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>B</sub> (h')], 35.34 [(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>CH (h)], 35.39 [(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>CH (h')], 36.60–37.40 [m, 3CH<sub>2</sub>P (h + h')], 47.07  $\left[ q, \frac{2}{J}(C, P) = 3.1 \right]$  Hz;  $\left( CH_3 \right)_{2}$ CHC (h), 49.48  $\left[ q \right]$ <br> $\left[ 2 \right]$   $H_C$   $P_1 = 3.6$  Hz;  $\left( CH \right)$ ,  $CH_C$  (h), 40.77  $\left[ CH \right]$  N (h)  $J(C, P) = 3.6$  Hz;  $(CH_3)_2$ CHC (h')], 49.77 [CH<sub>2</sub>N (h)], 49.98 [CH<sub>2</sub>N (h)], 50.38 [CH<sub>2</sub>N (h')], 52.51 [CH<sub>2</sub>N (h')], 55.21 [CHCH<sub>3</sub> (h)], 57.77 [CHCH<sub>3</sub> (h')], 115.02 (h), 115.83  $\frac{1}{2}$  (h<sup>t</sup>), 122.46 [2 q; (h/h<sup>t</sup>)], 122.57 [2 q;(h/h<sup>t</sup>)], 123.27 [d, 3 nC p) - 12.8 Hz; s-cis-CH=C N=P (h)], 123.97 [d  $J(C,P) = 12.8 \text{ Hz};$  s-cis-CH=C–N=P (h)], 123.97 [d, 3 KC P) = 12.2 Hz; s-cis CH-C-N=P (b)], 124.44 [d]  $J(C, P) = 12.2 \text{ Hz};$  s-cis-CH=C–N=P (h)], 124.44 [d, 3 KC P) = 12.2 Hz; s-cis-CH-C-N=P (b)], 125.54 [d]  ${}^{3}J(C,\vec{P}) = 12.2 \text{ Hz}$ ; s-cis-CH=C–N=P (h)], 125.54 [d,  $J(C, P) = 27.8$  Hz; s-trans-CH=C-N=P (h)], 126.70 [d,  $J(C,P) = 27.3$  Hz; s-trans-CH=C–N=P (h)], 126.81 [d,  $J(C,P) = 27.3$  Hz; s-trans-CH=C–N=P (h)], 127.84– 133.55 (h + h'), 136.42 [q; (h')], 136.66 [q; (h')], 138.05 [q; (h)], 144.70 [q; (h)], 144.82 [q; (h')], 149.71 [q; (h')], 149.93 [2] q; (h)], 150.47 [2 q; (h')], 150.59 [q; (h)]. Only signals clearly observed for the minor diastereoisomer are detailed;<br><sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (121.4 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  –0.90 [s, 1P; (h')],  $-0.07$  [s, 1P; (h')], 0.55 [s, 1P; (h')], 2.75 [s, 1P; (h)], 4.07 [s, 2P; (h)]; IR (Nujol):  $v = 1444$  (CP), 1114 (NP) cm<sup>-1</sup>; MS  $(FAB+)$ :  $m/z$  (%) = 1064 (76) [ $M^+$ +4], 1062 (100) [ $M^+$ +2], 1060 (37)  $[M^+]$ ; C<sub>65</sub>H<sub>61</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>N<sub>4</sub>P<sub>3</sub> (1062.03): Calcd C, 73.51, H, 5.79, N, 5.28. Found: C, 73.64, H, 5.82, N, 5.19.

- 11. (a) Wolinski, K.; Hinton, J. F.; Pulay, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 8251; (b) Cheeseman, J. R.; Trucks, G. W.; Keth, T.; Frisch, M. J. J. Chem. Phys. 1996, 104, 5497; (c) Helgaker, T.; Jaszunski, M.; Ruud, K. Chem. Rev. 1999, 99, 293.
- 12.  $MM<sup>+</sup>$  force field as implemented in the HyperChem 6.0 molecular modeling program (Hypercube, Inc; [http://](http://www.hyper.com) [www.hyper.com](http://www.hyper.com)).